SWIFT Wireless Fire Alarm System Analysis

Drew Petry (Advisor) Research Engineer II Georgia Tech Research Institute Atlanta, Georgia, United States drew.petry@gtri.gatech.edu

Garrett Brown (Advisor) Research Scientist I Georgia Tech Research Institute Atlanta, Georgia, United States garrett.brown@gtri.gatech.edu

Trey Durden College of Computing Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, Georgia, United States tdurden8@gatech.edu Madelyn Novelli College of Computing Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, Georgia, United States mnovelli3@gatech.edu

Alexander Schoolcraft Mike Cottrell College of Business and Technology University of North Georgia Dahlonega, Georgia, United States adscho3199@ung.edu

> James Ragazino College of Computing Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, Georgia, United States jragazino3@gatech.edu

> Liam Smith College of Computing Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, Georgia, United States Ismith398@gatech.edu

Ky Tran College of Computing Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, Georgia, United States ktran323@gatech.edu

Spencer Redelman College of Computing Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, Georgia, United States sredelman3@gatech.edu

Tony Tanory College of Computing Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, Georgia, United States ttanory3@gatech.edu

Abstract—The SWIFT (Smart Wireless Integrated Fire Technology) system, developed by Honeywell, includes various fire alarm devices such as wireless smoke and heat detectors, pull stations, alarms, monitoring software, and control panels. By utilizing wireless communication, SWIFT eliminates the need for extensive cabling, which simplifies installation and allows for more flexible device placement. However, wireless systems can be vulnerable to hacking or tampering if not properly secured. Unauthorized access could disrupt the system's functionality or trigger false alarms. This study seeks to examine the security weaknesses of the Honeywell SWIFT system through firmware reverse engineering and targeted attacks.

I. SYSTEM INTRODUCTION

The Honeywell SWIFT system represents a significant advancement in fire detection technology by incorporating wireless communication into a traditionally wired infrastructure. This system integrates various fire safety devices through its wireless mesh network, such as smoke detectors, heat sensors, and pull stations, offering flexibility in installation while maintaining high reliability in system performance. This wireless capability reduces the need for extensive cabling and allows for easier deployment in environments where running physical wiring is challenging or cost-prohibitive.

At the core of the system is the Signaling Line Circuit (SLC) processor, which acts as a critical intermediary between

wireless devices and the wired Fire Alarm Control Panel (FACP) through the Wireless Gateway. The SLC processor manages communication across the system, translating signals from the wireless mesh network into formats the FACP can interpret and control. This ensures that wireless devices, such as smoke and heat detectors, seamlessly function alongside traditional wired components.

Complementing the SLC is the Radio Frequency (RF) processor, a key interface that bridges the gap between wireless devices and the system's wired infrastructure. The RF Gateway oversees the operation of the wireless mesh network, which uses wireless communication to ensure efficient data transmission between the devices and the FACP. This gateway plays a pivotal role in maintaining system integrity, managing wireless device activity, and relaying information to the SLC for processing.

Despite these technological advancements, the integration of wireless communication introduces potential security risks. Reverse engineering both the SLC and RF Gateway is crucial for understanding the underlying firmware and communication protocols used by SWIFT. By analyzing how data is exchanged and processed, we can identify potential weaknesses. These investigations aim to highlight potential risks to the the SWIFT system's security.

II. PREVIOUS RESEARCH

The previous team delved into the AES encryption and decryption functions, as well as payload parsing and data integrity checks functions.

III. RF GATEWAY REVERSE ENGINEERING

By examining WSG_RF_MCU_FW_Dump_v4_1_0x00000-0x45BFF.bin in Ghidra, insight was gained into how the compiler optimizes the space taken up by functions, and the functions that revealed this drew attention to another function that may be useful when implementing the backdoor attack on the RF gateway. This section will begin by examining how FUN_00007350 and FUN_0000735e relate to each other in a way that saves space in memory, and it will then elaborate on how those two functions relate to the potentially important function FUN_00038c1c.

void FUN 00007350(void)

```
{
    DAT_00002065 = 0xff;
    FUN_00038c1c(2,0,&DAT_00002065,1);
    return;
}
```

Fig. 1. Decompiled View of FUN_00007350

The decompiler view of FUN_00007350 shows that the function sets the byte at address 0x00002065 to be 0xff. Before calling FUN_00038c1c with the parameters shown. On the surface, this seems like a very simple function. However, more is revealed when looking at the assembly code for the function.

				DIDIDIK
	*	FUNCTION		*
	***			ololok
	undefinedst	dcall FUN_00007350(void)		
undefined	R12_lo:1	<return></return>		
	FUN_00007350		XREF[2]:	FUN_00007268:000072c8(c),
				FUN_00007268:000072f4(c)
00007350 40 18 f2	MOVX.B	#-1,&DAT_00002065		
43 65 20				
00007356 1f 43	MOV.W	#1,R15		
00007358 8e 00 65	20 MOVA	#0x2065,R14		
0000735c 2c 43	MOV.W	#2,R12		

Fig. 2. Assembly Code for FUN_00007350

Note that FUN_00038c1c has four parameters, and these parameters are stored in the registers R12, R13, R14, and R15. Looking at the assembly code for FUN_00007350, it initially seems to be incompatible with the decompiler view. Setting the byte at address 0x00002065 to be 0xff occurs first, and the same parameters shown in the decompiler view were passed into R12, R14, and R15. However, passing 0 into R13 and calling FUN_00038c1c does not seem to happen, but there is also no statement returning back to where the function is called from. Due to this, a call to FUN_00007350 will result in the code right after, which is in FUN_0000735e, also being executed.



Fig. 3. Assembly Code for FUN_0000735e

This code completes the operations shown by the decompiler view of FUN_00007350. 0 is placed into R13, which completes the filling of the parameters for FUN_00038c1c, and FUN 00038c1c is what is branched to and executed immediately after that. Based on this analysis, it appears that both FUN_00007350 and FUN_0000735e serve only to set the parameters for FUN_00038c1c. This can be seen by the fact that FUN_0000735e is called from six other functions, not including how it is, for all intents and purposes, called by FUN_00007350 not returning to any return address. While the first, third, and fourth parameters of FUN_00038c1c may be set in other functions, such as FUN_00007350, those other functions all most likely need the second parameter to be 0, which is likely why FUN_0000735e exists only to set that parameter and then call FUN 00038c1c. The compiler likely did this in order to save space in memory by having a single function to do this instead of writing it into every function that needs to use FUN_00038c1c. To conserve even more space, FUN 00007350 can exist without returning to some return address because it is right before FUN_0000735e, meaning that it can immediately proceed to executing the code in FUN 0000735e. Examining this portion of code has shown how efficient the compiler can be in terms of converting code into as few assembly instructions as possible. However, it also has drawn attention to FUN_00038c1c.

Based on its decompiler view, FUN_00038c1c appears to be an incredibly important function in the RF Gateway. This is because it is referenced 48 times by other functions, and there are also other functions throughout the gateway, such as FUN_00007350, that are entirely dedicated to setting the parameters of calls to FUN 00038c1c. Note that FUN 00038c1c has not been fully reverse engineered yet, but there are a few ideas that can be taken away from a cursory look at the decompiler view. The frequency of USCIB0 is changed to 0x02, data is transmitted, and the frequency of USCIB0 is changed to 0x01. The importance of the data being transmitted, the destination of that data, and the functions called along the way will be determined through further reverse engineering. What the team will find in FUN_00038c1c will hopefully be helpful in implementing the backdoor attack on the RF Gateway.

Upon further research and investigation, it appears that FUN_00038c1c appears to be a function that is indicating a message being passed between devices. We believe that the ports involved are the indicators that messages are being sent

```
void FUN_00038c1c(uint param_1,char param_2,undefined *param_3,int param_4)
```

```
{
  config USCIB0 frequency('\x02');
  FUN_0003b450(0);
  while (param 4 != 0) {
    FUN 0003c916();
    Peripherals::PORT_7_8.P70UT = Peripherals::PORT_7_8.P70UT | 2;
    Peripherals::PORT_1_2.P10UT = Peripherals::PORT_1_2.P10UT & 0xef | 8;
    transmit_byte_via_UCB0TXBUF(6);
    Peripherals::PORT_7_8.P70UT = Peripherals::PORT_7_8.P70UT | 2;
Peripherals::PORT_1_2.P10UT = Peripherals::PORT_1_2.P10UT & 0xef | 8;
    transmit_byte_via_UCB0TXBUF(2);
    send_data_wrapper_3d39a(param_1,param_2);
transmit_byte_via_UCB0TXBUF(*param_3);
    param_2 = param_2 + (0xfffe < param_1);</pre>
    while( true ) {
      param_4 = param_4 + -1;
       param_1 = param_1 + 1;
       param_3 = param_3 + 1;
       if (((char)param_1 == '\0') || (param_4 == 0)) break;
       transmit_byte_via_UCB0TXBUF(*param_3);
      param 2 = param 2 + (0xfffe < param 1);</pre>
    Peripherals::PORT 1 2.P10UT = Peripherals::PORT 1 2.P10UT | 0x10:
  FUN 0003c916():
  Peripherals::PORT_1_2.P10UT = Peripherals::PORT_1_2.P10UT | 0x10;
  config_USCIB0_frequency('\x01');
  return:
```



between devices.

We have also discovered FUN_0003c1c2. Upon reverse engineering, it appears that this function is performing some sort of check on a data table or buffer. Note that the function performs an iterative loop over &DAT_00003ca1. This data is the subject of this verification or check process. Furthermore, we found that this function is used as a boolean in FUN_00021884. When FUN_00003c1c2 returns 1, FUN_00021884 appears to print "FULL" to the console. This leads us to believe that FUN_0003c1c2 is indeed checking if &DAT_00003ca1 is full. The next step is to further investigate &DAT_00003ca1 and FUN_00021884 to gather a more complete understanding of what is being represented as "FULL" and why it is relevant.

Another key area of focus is reverse engineering functions that interact with the SX 1231 Transceiver. For example, change_RF_mode. Each of these functions have an address that corresponds with the SX 1231 data sheet. This address shows us what instruction is taking place on the transceiver. In this case, x01 corresponds to changing the operating mode of the transceiver. Within this section of the data sheet, we are able to see that bits 4-2 of the parameter passed into this function decide which operating mode to change the transceiver to. There are 5 different modes: Sleep, Standby, Frequency Synthesizer, Transmitter, and Receiver Mode. All of the other combinations are reserved. When this function is called, it sets the operating mode of the transceiver to one of these modes before returning.

Another critical function we identified is FUN_0000e83a. This function is pivotal in managing firmware updates, verifying message integrity, and ensuring proper buffer handling via

```
undefined4 FUN 0003c1c2(void)
  hyte bVar1:
  uint3 uVar2;
  uint3 uVar3;
  char cVar4
  bVar1 = 1:
  while( true ) {
    if (0x32 < bVar1) {</pre>
      return 0;
    uVar2 = (uint3)bVar1;
    uVar3 = uVar2;
for (cVar4 = '\x04'; uVar3 = uVar3 * 2 & 0xfffff, cVar4 != '\0'; cVar4 = cVar4 + -1) {
    if ((&DAT_00003ca1)[(int3)(uVar2 + uVar3 & 0xfffff)] == '\0') break;
    uVar3 = (uint3)bVar1;
    for (cVar4 = '\x04'; uVar3 = uVar3 * 2 & 0xfffff, cVar4 != '\0'; cVar4 = cVar4 + -1) {
    if ((&DAT_00003ca1)[(int3)(uVar2 + uVar3 & 0xfffff)] == '\x02') {
      return 1:
    bVar1 = bVar1 + 1;
  return 1;
3
```



else {

```
*(undefined4 *)(pbVar5 + -4) = 0x21b44;
bVar14 = FUN_0003a7a8(param_1,uVar12);
if (bVar14 == 0xff) {
  *(undefined4 *)(pbVar5 + -4) = 0x21c3c;
  uVar18 = FUN_0003c1c2();
  if ((char)uVar18 == '\0') {
    pbVar5[-2] = 0x7f;
    pbVar5[-4] = DAT_0000325e | 0x7f;
    *(undefined4 *)(pbVar5 + -8) = 0x21c58;
    FUN 0001df44(param 1,uVar12);
    *(undefined4 *)(pbVar5 + -8) = 0x21c60;
    USCI_A0_LOW::Write_Char_To_USCI_A0('F');
    *(undefined4 *)(pbVar5 + -8) = 0x21c68;
    USCI_A0_LOW::Write_Char_To_USCI_A0('U');
    *(undefined4 *)(pbVar5 + -8) = 0x21c70;
    USCI_A0_LOW::Write_Char_To_USCI_A0('L');
    *(undefined4 *)(pbVar5 + -8) = 0x21c78;
    USCI_A0_LOW::Write_Char_To_USCI_A0('L');
    DAT 00003735 = DAT 00003735 | 0 \times 10;
    DAT_{0003ae7} = 0x32;
    pbVar5[-6] = 0;
    pbVar5[-8] = 0;
    cVar27 = '\0';
    pbVar7 = pbVar5 + -8;
```

Fig. 6. Decompiled View of FUN_00021884

the ipc_tx_buff. It validates incoming data using a checksum (e.g., ipc_tx_buff[0x9f]), outputs -V to A0, the debug port, and prepares verified data for writing into flash memory. Specifically, it erases a flash segment at 0x1980 and writes new firmware or configuration data stored in ipc_tx_buff[0x9b] through 0x9e. This suggests that 0x1980 to 0x1983 may hold version-related information, though further investigation into how this function is utilized—particularly the relationship between 0x1980 to 0x1983 and bytes 155 to 159 (x9b to x9e) in the ipc_tx_buff—is needed to confirm this. Reversing this



Fig. 8. SX 1231 Data Sheet

function not only provided insight into the firmware update mechanism, but also revealed how incoming messages are parsed, validated, and utilized by the gateway. This knowledge is particularly useful, as understanding the data flow and memory mapping allows attackers to inject malicious payloads disguised as valid firmware updates. Furthermore, the use of fallback values and retry loops in the function provides potential entry points for crafting persistent exploits. Further analysis of functions that call FUN_0000e83a could reveal the specific triggers and conditions under which firmware updates are initiated. This understanding would provide insight into the operational timing and decision-making process for updates, potentially identifying other opportunities for exploitation.

Lots of work has been done reversing smaller mathematical functions and utility functions. For example, the divide and divide byte functions as well as the function at 0x3d5a2 that converts numbers into string characters and transmits them via the USCI_A0 peripheral. The current reversing focus on FUN_000221d0 because of its call location in a small loop where the RF chip is configured to be in receive mode each iteration. More work needs to be done figure out what this functions purpose is. Currently, it is only clear that it transmit data and uses CRC functions.

IV. CATEGORIZING FUNCTIONS

This semester built on the work started in the Spring 2023 paper where the USCB peripheral connected to the transceiver was used to identify Writes_data_out_SPIB0_to_RF_Chip_at_Reg(loc: 0x3a188). Every function that interacted with this peripheral was labeled and categorized this semester. Categories are denoted via bookmarks in the Ghidra repository for the RF firmware. Note in the following subsections that generic names using the memory locations of the functions will be given instead of names for brevity and clarity for future teams in case the function names change.

A. SX1231_REG_CONFIG

FUN_ee08: It loops and writes configuration byte data to SX1231 registers 0x18 to 0x4f using values from RAM 0xd483 to 0xd4ba. It wasn't obvious that these values were being read from these memory locations at first because Ghidra can't see the results of a loop being incremented given this is static analysis. By the same reasoning, it is not clear when these values were written to RAM. The current hypothesis is that this function restores register configurations from a previous time, so this function is used to reload a configuration in case the configuration had to switch for some reason, which happens often given the configuration of these registers determines how packets are received and sent.

B. BUILD_PACKET_SYNC_WORD

FUN_1fa06: SX1231 Configuration register is used to set the sync word length to 4. The synce work is then set to 0x31 0x54 0x77 0x9a. This contrast with the only sync word that has been seen OTA (0x21 0x43 0x65 0x87). Either the branch

where this sync word is set is never taken or is taken rarely for very special interactions.

FUN_34a90: Based on the first parameter this function chooses different 5 byte sync words. One branch sets the sync word to 0x2a 0x86 0xdf 0xca 0x34 while another branch sets it to 0xaa 0x55 0xaa 0x55 0xaa. The other branch sets it using memory 0x4c08 to 0x4c0b and 0xaa for the fifth byte. Using this memory location is interesting because it is the same location builds_rf_message reads from. More work should be done to look at FUN_38b82 and other functions that write to this memory location.

C. AES

Previously there was confusion regarding encryption and decryption of packets. OTA the payload is encrypted and then the sync word and crc bytes are added to either side. This was corroborated by the encryption function found in Swift Tools application when it was reversed with ILSpy and the Pull station firmware having the same AES encryption key.

Interestingly, based on the documentation for the SX1231 transceiver the maximum message length that can be encrypted is 64 or sometimes 65 bytes long. This is because the buffer size is limited and the full message is needed to encrypt. This is due to the fact that AES is a block cipher; if the developers used a stream cipher they wouldn't have made the same choices that these developers had to make to encrypt and decrypt longer messages. The decryption process had to be implemented in software (0x2c60e and 0x17544). That's not to say that it isn't using hardware encryption and decryption for shorter messages (see 0xd64c and 0xd68a where the registers are configured).

D. FIFO

FUN_33ff8: This function reads from the SX1231 FIFO register (register 0x00) to get the packet into memory. Note the software doesn't need to remove the sync word or crc bytes when reading from the register, because that is automatically handled by the transceiver hardware. The AES module is configured, so the maximum message length is 64 bytes long. This function returns 0xfe error code if the message is greater than or equal to 65 bytes long. Memory location 0x1f58 is set to 100 by default or the value of param_4. It decrements everytime an interrupt occurs for Timer A0 peripheral. This functions as a timeout with the packet is being read in. If the entire packet isn't read in time the function will return error code 0xfd. 0 is returned when the message is successfully read into memory.

V. TABLE IN RF BINARY

Through examining the RF binary, several functions were found in which memory addresses were exclusively offset by multiples of eight, which was accomplished by bit shifting integers to the left by three. The trend seemed strange at first, but the function in Figure 11 was discovered and made it obvious what all of these references are for.

```
{
    ulong uVar1;
    for (uVar1 = 0; (byte)uVar1 < 24; uVar1 = (ulong)(byte)((byte)uVar1 + 1)) {
        (&DAT_00004445)[(int3)(uVar1 << 3)] = 0;;
        (&DAT_00004446)[(int3)(uVar1 << 3)] = 0;
        (&DAT_00004447)[(int3)(uVar1 << 3)] = 2;
        (&DAT_00004447)[(int3)(uVar1 << 3)] = 0;
        (&DAT_00004448)[(int3)(uVar1 << 3)] = 0;
        (&DAT_00004448)[(int3)(uVar1 << 3)] = 0;
    }
DAT_00004505 = 0;
DAT_00004506 = 0;
DAT_00004507 = 0;
return;
}</pre>
```

void table init 4445(void)

Fig. 11. Decompiled Table Initialization Function

This function initializes the state of a table within memory. When altering this table, functions reference addresses 0x4445through 0x444c and offset them by multiples of eight, and the integers multiplied by eight cannot exceed 24. Therefore, this table is an array of 24 entries, with each entry consisting of 8 bytes of data, making the table a 24 by 8 array. For the sake of explaining the key functions throughout this portion of the paper, TABLE[i][j] will denote mem[0x4445 + 8i + j].

Before looking at other functions, it is important to examine the initial state of the table. For each valid index k, TABLE[k][0] = 0x7f. This is done to mark that entry as being invalid. TABLE[k][1] = 0 because, for valid table entries, TABLE[k][1] tends to be in the range [1,3]. TABLE[k][2] = 0 because TABLE[k][2] acts as a counter for attempts to write TABLE[k][0] to memory. There has not been as much insight into the initial settings of TABLE[k][3], TABLE[k][5], and TABLE[k][6]. The function also clears addresses 0x4505 through 0x4507. Each of these addresses hold statistics about the table, with mem[0x4505] holding the number of valid entries, mem[0x4506] holding the number of valid entries TABLE[k] such that TABLE[k][1] = 1, and mem[0x4507] holding the number of valid the number of valid entries TABLE[k] such that TABLE[k] such that TABLE[k] such that TABLE[k] such that TABLE[k].

The first function bvte to examine is index_in_table_4445(char param_1). This function returns an index k such that TABLE[k][0] $= param_1$. If $param \ 1 = 0x7f$ or no k exists that satisfies this criteria, the function simply returns 0x7f to denote that this was an invalid call to the function. This is a key helper function that several other functions use. One function that uses this prominently is void set table 4445 entry(byte param_1,byte param_2,byte param_3). This function begins by checking that the table is not full (mem[0x4505] < 24)and that param_1 is in the range [1, 50]. If one of these properties does not hold, no entry will be added to the table and the function returns. If both properties hold, the function then calls index_in_table_4445(param_1) to check if there is already an index k such that $TABLE[k][0] = param_1$. If there is a k that satisfies that criteria, the function sets $TABLE[k][5] = param_3$ if param_2 is either 1 or 3 and sets $TABLE[k][1] = param_2$ only if $param_2 = 1$, with mem[0x4506] being updated accordingly. If **index_in_table_4445(param_1)** returns 0x7f, the function searches for space in the table, and, once that space is found, the new table entry is created in the manner shown in Figure 12.

Fig. 12. Method of Adding a New Table Entry

This function showed that TABLE functions closer to a dictionary than a standard two-dimensional array. This is because, for some c in range [1, 50], there can be only by one k such that TABLE[k][0] = c. Therefore, TABLE[k][0] acts like a key for the data held in the rest of TABLE[k].

Another function that calls byte index_in_table_4445(char param_1) is void delete_4445_table_entry(char param_1, char param_2). If there exists an index k such that $TABLE[k][0] = param_1$ and $TABLE[k][1] = param_2$, then that TABLE[k] is deleted by resetting its fields to their initial states from table_init_4445(). Notably, if some entry TABLE[k] is deleted such that TABLE[k][1] = 1 or TABLE[k][1] = 3, then the function sets TABLE[k][1] = 1 or TABLE[k][1] = 3, then the function sets TABLE[k][4] = 0. Future analysis shows that TABLE[k][4] is a boolean value that denotes whether TABLE[k][0] has had the opportunity to be written to memory.

The next functions found were simpler and less notable functions that take in no parameters and simply search for a valid entry that satisfies certain properties, and those aren't very interesting. There are functions like byte largest table key lt(byte param 1,byte ***param_2**), which returns the largest TABLE[k][0] such that $TABLE[k][0] < param_1$, and it then sets $*param_2 = k$. Similarly, byte smallest_table_key_gt(byte param_1,byte ***param_2**) returns the smallest valid TABLE[k][0] such that $param_1 < TABLE[k][0]$ and then sets $*param_2 = k$. There are also other functions to edit the table like void swap_key_in_table(byte *param_1). For all indices k such that TABLE[k][0] < 50, the function sets TABLE[k][0] = $param_1[TABLE[k][0] - 1]$, fully deleting the entry if TABLE[k][0] becomes 0x7f. These functions are interesting in how they traverse and alter the table, but they do not answer the question of what the table is for.

The main function that answers this is void transmit_and_delete_from_table(). Before explaining what the

function does for each index k, let a boolean cond = $mem[0x3c08] \lor (mem[0x3743] \land mem[0x3c09])$. For each index k, the function begins by continuing to the next index if TABLE[k][0] = 0x7f or TABLE[k][1] is not 1 or 3. TABLE[k][2] is then incremented, and the next index is checked if TABLE[k][2] < 11. Afterwards, if *cond*, then the function sets TABLE[k][2] = TABLE[k][4] = 0. Otherwise, the function sets TABLE[k][4] = 1, denoting that the entry has had the opportunity to be written to memory. Finally, if TABLE[k][1] = 3, then the function writes S and R to USCIA0, which could denote "Signal Received", and TABLE[k][0] is written into an array starting at 0x322b. After that loop is over, the table entries that satisfy the condition TABLE[k][4] = 1 are deleted. From this function, it is apparent that TABLE[k][2] is a counter denoting the number of times TABLE[k][0] has attempted to be written into memory and that TABLE[k][4] is a boolean value denoting whether TABLE[k][0] has had a real chance to be written to memory. Overall, TABLE is a table of values to be written to memory, with TABLE[k][0] holding the value to be written and the rest of each entry holding various statistics about whether it is ready to be stored. The next steps for analyzing this table will be to analyze the functions that call the functions discussed and examine what they do with the results of these operations.

VI. RF PROTOCOL ANALYSIS

Honeywell's SWIFT system utilizes an SX1231 Transceiver to handle the RF communication (195Mhz, FSK) between the gateway and peripherals. In order to reverse engineer the protocol we utilized a HackRF in combination with the SWIFT Tools application to trigger different scenarios and record the RF communication. From previous semesters we know the general format of the messages sent.

Raw Hex Data From OTA Signals									
	Preamble	Payload Length	CRC32 (part of payload)	Payload					
bit #	12345678	9 10	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	19 - 76					
hex data	21436587	2 1	9 e c 4 1 2 e d	c5b76e92790bd193c3aaa3b255ab8a7fbdf52658f9a4aaaaaaaaaaaaaa					

Fig. 13. Diagram of OTA message format

Previous semesters identified a constant preamble/syncword "**0x21436587**" and a packet length of "**0x21**" specifying that the encoded payload is 33 bytes long. In order to decode this we must XOR every byte with the hex value "**0xaa**".

Using ILSPY, an open-source .NET decompiler, we can utilize the fact that Honeywell used common dlls (dynamically linked libraries) to identify the fields of the payload. Some notable fields are: Bytes (7:10) is the serial number of the pull station and Byte (13) is the SLC address of the pull station. Armed with this information we were able to create a python script to automatically take data from a HackRF capture and translate it to the respective fields.

Overview of RF Packet disassembly process

1. Example Gateway RF Capture:

2. Translate to Hex (if not already): 21436587219ec412edc5b76e92790bd193c3aa a3b255ab8a7fbdf52658f9a4aaaaaaaaaaaaaa

```
-> Syncword: 0x214365872
-> Packet Lengh: 0x21
```

3. XOR non syncword + pck len bytes: 2143658721346eb8476f1dc438d3a17b396900 0918ff0120d5175f8cf2530e00000000000000

4. Match bytes with IL Spy description

Fields of	the Capture:
346eb847	-> CRC32: Detects data corruption or accidental changes.
6f	-> Message Type: Assumed to define payload structure.
1d	-> Unknown: Currently unidentified.
c438d3a1	-> Serial Number: Device serial number (converted from hex to decimal).
7b	-> Node Type: Represents the device type (e.g., pull station).
39	-> BootLoader Version and Node State: First bit is BootLoaderVersion, second bit is Node State.
69	-> Address: Device address (SLC value in SWIFT Tools).
00	-> bScanResultPresent: Boolean variable indicating scan result presence.
09	-> Hardware Version: Device hardware version (e.g., '1.1').
18	-> Software Release: Device software release version (e.g., '3.0').
ff	-> Site Survey Address: Sets SiteSurveyAddress to 'NA' if '0xff'.
01	-> Mesh ID: Mesh network ID of the device.
20d5175f	-> Sync Word: Relates to mesh network synchronization.
8c	-> Fire Panel Brand: Identifies the Fire Panel Brand (e.g., 'FIRELITE').
f2	-> Batteries Inserted and Battery Status: Represents inserted batteries and their status.
53	-> Application Build Number: Appended to Software Release to form complete FW Version.
0e	-> Bootloader Build Number: Appended to Boot Loader Version for complete Boot Version.
00	-> Link Test Result: Purpose is currently unknown.
00	-> Device State: Purpose is currently unknown.
00	-> Unknown: Currently unidentified.
00	-> Unknown: Currently unidentified.
00	-> Unknown: Currently unidentified.
00	-> RF Scan Progress: Purpose is currently unknown.
00	-> Unknown: Currently unidentified.

Fig. 14. OTA Packet Decoded Fields

VII. SERIAL PROTOCOL ANALYSIS - GATEWAY COMMUNICATION

The SWIFT Tools Application utilizes a serial protocol to send messages to the SWIFT W-USB Transceiver, allowing communication with devices on the mesh network. The application, distributed as an unobfuscated.NET executable and DLL, allows for straightforward reverse engineering using tools like ILSpy. Each message frame contains a Wireless-Comm.WirelessPacket, encoded based on frame type, with WirelessComm.ProtocolManager managing frame type selection and CRC calculations. To decode captured serial traffic (USB Protocol), the team reverse-engineered SWIFT Tools, decompiling its DLLs to understand its operation. Key analysis focused on three DLLs (WirelessComm.dll, WirelessInterfaces.dll, and WirelessPlugin.dll) responsible for parsing and creating serial messages to be sent to and from the W-USB device and the Gateway / Pull Stations.

Through the use of Serial Port Monitor we were able to grab an example of such communication between SWIFT Tools and the Gateway. At first glance, the purpose of this message is unknown as it contains a long string of hex bytes. Therefore, in order to deconstruct the meaning behind the bytes, a Python script was created to automatically pull out fields and relate them to enums found in IL Spy.

7в	04	ED	27	54	00	54	53	46	35	15	20	08	18	18
01	20	D5	17	5F	82	04	06	05	09	08	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$	\mathbf{FF}	FF
$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$	FF	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$	\mathbf{FF}	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$	\mathbf{FF}	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$	\mathbf{FF}	FF
$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$	\mathbf{FF}	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$	\mathbf{FF}	\mathbf{FF}	\mathbf{FF}	\mathbf{FF}	\mathbf{FF}
FF	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$	\mathbf{FF}	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$	\mathbf{FF}	2D	F1	DF	8E
72	8E	75	47	CD	CA	80	CA	00	00	00	00	00	00	00
00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00
00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00
00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00
00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00
00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00
00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00
00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	0.0
00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	0.0
00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00
00	00	00	00	00	00	00	36	в4	75	53	19	06	01	00
A0	7D	ED	ED	ED										

Fig. 15. General Serial Gateway Message

The main source of information when deconstructing this packet was the WirelessNode Class located in the *Honey-well.WirelessTool.WirelessInterfaces.WirelessNode* dll (figure 13).

public bool bIsSelected; public bool isDeviceSelected; public bool bIsToolsLocked; public static GridElements gElement; public string SerialNo; public NodeState State; public string strDeviceLabel; public bool isSiteSurveyDevice; public FireBrand FirePanelBrand; private string softwareVersion; private string slcFirmwareVersion; public string strHardwareVersion; public string strSiteSurveyAddress; public string strSiteSurveyLocation; public bool bScanResultPresent; public bool bIsFirmwareSelected; public bool bIsCompatiable; public BatteryStatus BatteyStatus; public GatewayMeshAttribute gateOnlyAttributes; public int iDeviceLevelRSSI; public BatteryLocations bLocations; public int LinkTestResult; public string DeviceState; public int RFScanProgress; public string SyncExternalPowerStatus; public AVBaseAttributes.AVBaseConfig1 AVBaseCnfg1; public AVBaseAttributes.AVBaseConfig2 AVBaseCnfg2; public AVBaseAttributes.AVBaseBatteryInfo AVBaseBattery; public string HWRevision; public string MUBootloader; public string SyncWord;

Fig. 16. Wireless Node local variables

Accompanied by previous semester's research we were able to determine the bytes in the Serial Packet that corresponded with these variables:

Bytes -> Field

```
1:4 -> Serial Number (int)
5 -> Node Type (enum)
6 -> BootLoader Version and Node State
                    (bitfield / enum)
7
 -> SLC Address (int)
8
 -> SLC BootLoader Version
9
 -> Hardware Version
10 -> Software Version
11 -> SLC Firmware Version
12 -> Mesh ID (int)
13:16 -> Sync Word (int)
17 -> Fire Brand (enum)
18 -> Gateway Mesh Attribute List Capacity
19:22 -> Mesh SLC Address (int)
68:80 -> Serial Numbers (int)
229 -> Magnet Lock Status (bitfield)
230 -> Various booleans (bitfield)
231 -> Lock Time Remaining (enum)
232 -> RF Application Build Number
233 -> SLC Application Build Number
234 -> RF Bootloader Build Number
235 -> SLC Bootloader Build Number
236 -> Unknown Field
237 -> XOR Checksum
```

As many of the field present in the packet represented enums, bit fields, and integers requiring manipulation, specific parsing functions were required to deconstruct each value:

Enum: Enums were stored into python dictionaries and keyed with the base 10 integer corresponding to the ILSpy disassembly



Fig. 17. Enum Parsing

- **Bitfields:** Initially represented as hex bytes, simple AND operations were used to specify bits and coorelate them to booleans



Fig. 18. Bit Field Parsing

- Int: Serial Numbers and Mesh ID's were given in the packet as reversed hex bytes, so in order to relate them

to thier base 10 representaions, they were reversed and converted into base 10



Fig. 19. Integer Parsing

Iterating through all fields discovered in the Serial Packet, we were able to automatically deconstruct the information hidden within.



Fig. 20. Serial Packet Deconstruction

VIII. GHIDRA'S SUPPORT FOR MSP430

Ghidra's support for the MSP430 language is incomplete and causes several difficulties when decompiling and viewing functions in the listing view. The main reason for the problem is the odd way this language handles addresses. The addressability of memory is only 20-bits; however, the operands can be 20-bits and be extended to take up the full space of a 32bit register. When Ghidra sees the 32-bit register it interprets it as such and this nuance contributes to some edge cases that make the decompiler show unnecessary (although not necessarily incorrect) code lines. For example, before most function calls there will be a line of code showing the return address being placed on the stack; this behavior is normally implied, so showing it leads to a messy decompiler view. Further complicating the decompiler view, this addressability leads Ghidra to add extensive masking to numerous variables (E.G. "& 0xFFFFF"), which increases the clutter in the code, making it that much harder to read.

Other problems have stemmed from the "Decompiler Parameter ID" option of the analyzer. This option can be used on a binary to automatically create parameters and local variables. After exploring the functions, the team realized that a significant number of functions have incorrect arguments and incorrect return types. Registers that are immediately overwritten in the first few lines of assembly instructions have been consistently defined as inputs, which doesn't make sense. Also, void return values weren't committed, so functions that returned nothing looked like they would fill variables in the decompiler view.

In addition to choosing the wrong registers for input, the wrong granularity was also chosen. MSP430 uses three different granularities for arguments: 8-bit, 16-bit, and 20-bit. This is denoted by instructions that end in B, W, and A respectively. The automated analysis only chose the correct register (R12_lo in Ghidra notation) when the type B instruction was used, not type W or type A. Therefore, the team has had to continually manually change register arguments for many functions. The combination of the functions with the wrong number of arguments, the wrong return values, and the wrong argument granularities has made the decompiler unusable and even useless in some scenarios.

IX. SPOOFING A DEVICE ON THE SLC

The Gateway discussed so far is a singular device with two separate co-processors: the RF and SLC. While the RF handles communication with the wireless devices on the mesh network, the Signaling Line Circuit (SLC) handles communication between the control panel and intelligent and addressable initiating, monitor, and control devices. Essentially, the SLC is a data and power bus that transmits both information and power between everything that makes up the fire alarm system. While other circuits may have an 'on' or 'off,' the SLC has several types of signals. It also handles many different types of messages, such as simple polling from the fire alarm control panel (FACP) to see which devices are on the network, receiving the polling message, and alarm signals that arise from a pull station or smoke detector. Each SLC message is broadcasted to all other devices on the network. In order to pass information from the wireless devices to the FACP, the SLC firmware regularly uses ports USCI A1, USCI B1, and General-Purpose I/O (GPIO) ports 1, 2, and 8 [1].

The goal of our team is to spoof a device on the SLC network, which entails replicating any of the legitimate devices that would be on the SLC network. The development of this device allows us to send 'spoofed' packets to the gateway, which will allow an attacker to remotely compromise the gateway. This device can be some kind of microcontrollerbased device that can arbitrarily change General Purpose I/O (GPIO) lines to toggle the lines of the SLC network. Some top candidates the team discussed were Arduino and Raspberry Pi. The Raspberry Pi has all the features of a standard PC, such as a dedicated processor, graphics driver, memory, and its own operating system known as the Raspberry Pi OS. It can perform numerous tasks, such as plugging a monitor, mouse, and keyboard to it, as well as connecting to the Internet and adding a camera, among many other things. Due to this, the Raspberry Pi is seen as much more complex; while the Arduino is an electronic board with a simple microcontroller, the Raspberry Pi is a full-fledged computer [2].

Therefore, the team decided to use the Arduino as our surrogate device. The Arduino provides a programmable circuit board and can read data from sensors and buttons and turn it into outputs. The Arduino is best suited for repetitive tasks and for projects that need a simple output based on sensory input, and the code ran on the surrogate device will go through less levels of complexity than with the Raspberry Pi, thus it fits our design goals well [3].



Fig. 21. Previously proposed surrogate device design





$$V_{ ext{out}} = rac{R_2}{R_1+R_2} \cdot V_{ ext{in}}$$

Fig. 23. Formula for a voltage divider

In order to spoof a fire alarm on the wired SLC network, the team realized that we can't actually replace a device on the SLC line. Instead, the surrogate device must be added to the line in a way such that it appears to be part of the system and can replicate the appropriate addresses and SLC messages. Otherwise, the system would enter a "System Trouble" state from not recognizing the surrogate device.

A current design proposed by the Fall 2023 team consists of a very similar design to the wired pull station set up inside the lab. Our team is currently examining it to see what may need to be edited or adjusted, if anything. The first design choice we noticed is the addition of a voltage divider. Because messages going into the pull station can fluctuate from 10V to 24V, and the Arduino can only support up to 5V, the device would need a resistive voltage divider to lower the input voltage going into the microcontroller. Thus, two resistors of 400 microohms (R1) and 100 microohms (R2) are used in the design, though these numbers are not obligatory and can be slightly altered (i.e. a max input of 24V with R1 = 800 and R2 = 200 produces an output of 4.8V and is still in the Arduino's safe zone).

Throughout the semester, our team worked diligently to design a new version of the surrogate device and code. One implementation we decided to incorporate was debouncing logic. Debouncing is a technique that removes unwanted input



Fig. 24. Surrogate Device Schematic 2.2

noise from buttons or switches. This could be important for the trigger that lets us know when there is an alarm or not. There are 2 ways to implement debouncing: through hardware or software. With hardware, we can use a resistor-capacitor (RC) filter with a Schmitt trigger diode. However, this might be too complicated for our purposes—our team instead opted to write the debouncing in our software code. The hardware included for the debounce logic include: Arduino Board, momentary button or switch, 10k ohm resistor, hook-up wires, and breadboard.

Our team also created a design we aptly named the Surrogate Device Schematic 2.2, as seen in Figure 24. Two designs were created, both 2.1 and 2.2, with Schematic 2.2 more closely modeling the design of the previous semester. One important feature of these designs is the two MOSFETs. MOSFETs are Metal-Oxide-Semiconductor Field-Effect Transistors that are used to amplify or switch electronic signals in circuits. They can efficiently control high-power devices with minimal energy loss-they consume little power and can control high-current signals. There are two types: N-channel and Pchannel. N-channel turns on when the voltage applied to the gate is positive relative to the source, and P-channel is the opposite (negative). In our design we are using an N-type Enhancement MOSFET, which has three visible terminals: Source (S), Drain (D), and Gate (G). Source is where the current enters the MOSFET, and Drain is where the current exits. Gate is the control terminal that can either allow or block voltage flow between the other two terminals. For example, if there is a sufficient amount of positive voltage at the Gate, it will allow the current to flow from Drain to Source. If the voltage at the Gate is too low, the MOSFET will remain off and no current will flow. In our design, the MOSFET likely controls the voltage output (Vout) based on the input signal from the Arduino. This essentially means the Arduino can tell the MOSFET to turn on (through a control signal to its Gate) and thus allow the current to pass, or vice versa.

X. SLC GATEWAY REVERSE ENGINEERING

One of the challenges with spoofing a device on the SLC network is understanding what the SLC firmware does. To that end, the team worked towards reverse engineering the firmware in Ghidra. While conducting the analysis of the code, there were a few sections of code that raised some red flags, prompting a more in-depth analysis. Within the initialization function, the system performs a series of Cyclic Redundancy Checks, or CRC, on a few key sections of code, as well as stack variables.

undefined2 gen_CRC(undefined *param_1,undefined2 param_2,undefined2 param_3,undefined2 param_4)
int *piVarl;
int iVar2;
undefined *puVar3;
int3 iVar4;
ulong uVar5;
undefined auStack_6 [2];
undefined auStack_4 [2];
undefined auStack_2 [2];
*(undefined2 *)((ulong)auStack_2 & 0xffff) = param_4;
*(undefined2 *)((ulong)auStack_4 & Oxffff) = param_3;
*(undefined2 *)((ulong)auStack_6 & 0xffff) = param_2;
<pre>puVar3 = (undefined *) (ulong) ((int)auStack 6 - 6);</pre>
*puVar3 = 0;
*(undefined *)((ulong)(puVar3 + 1) & 0xffff) = 0;
while (uVar5 = (ulong) (* (uint *) ((ulong) (puVar3 + 10) & 0xffff)
<pre>*(uint *)((ulong)(puVar3 + 8) & 0xffff)),</pre>
(*(uint *)((ulong)(puVar3 + 10) & 0xffff) *(uint *)((ulong)(puVar3 + 8) & 0xffff)) != 0) {
<pre>if (*(char *)((ulong)(puVar3 + 0x10) & 0xffff) == '\0') {</pre>
*puVar3 = *param_l;
*(undefined *)((ulong)(puVar3 + 1) & Oxffff) = *puVar3;
*puVar3 = 0xff;
* (undefined4 *) (puVar3 + -4) = 0xea82;
<pre>gen_CRC_helper();</pre>
*(undefined2 *)(puVar3 + 4) = *(undefined2 *)(uVar5 - 0x29a8);
*(undefined2 *)((ulong)(puVar3 + 2) & 0xffff) = 0;
*(undefined2 *)((ulong)(puVar3 + 2) & 0xffff) = *(undefined2 *)((ulong)(puVar3 + 4) & 0xffff);
*(undefined4 *)(puVar3 + -4) = 0xea9a;
iVar4 = gen_CRC_helper();
*(uint *)((ulong)(puVar3 + 6) & 0xffff) =
*(int *)((ulong)(puVar3 + 6) & 0xfff) << 8 ^ *(uint *)(uVar5 - 0x29a8);
<pre>param_l = (undefined *) (long) (int3) (iVar4 + 10 & 0xfffff);</pre>
<pre>piVarl = (int *)((ulong)(puVar3 + 8) & 0xffff);</pre>
*piVarl = *piVarl + -1;
*(int *)((ulong)(puVar3 + 10) & 0xffff) =
*(int *)((ulong)(puVar3 + 10) & 0xffff) + -1 + (uint)(iVar2 != 0);
return *(undefined2 *)((ulong)(puVar3 + 6) & 0xffff);

Fig. 25. Decompiled View of gen_crc function

				unde	efined gen_C	RC_helper()			
	fin				R12_lo:1 <return></return>				
				gen	gen CRC helper				
0000ed4a	5e	41	04		MOV.B	0x4(SP),R14			
0000ed4e	lf	41	0a		MOV.W	0xa(SP),R15			
0000ed52	8f				SWPB	R15			
0000ed54	7f	f3			AND.B	#-1,R15			
0000ed56	0f	ee			XOR.W	R14,R15			
0000ed58	ef	0f			ADDA	R15,R15			
				byte					
				byte	e* varB[2] =				
					e temp = var	B[0]			
						1]			
					3[1] = temp				
				varl	3[1] = varB[1] & OxFF			
				varl					
0000ed5a					RETA	@SP+			

Fig. 26. Listing View of gen_crc_helper function

After completing these checks, it verifies them against hardcoded values to ensure the integrity of the specific locations. The potential issue comes after this. If the CRCs fail, the system enters an error correction state. While this state is far from fully analyzed currently, most of these corrections do not work to correct errors within the code or local variables – they fix the peripheral pin values and perform some byte manipulation. Most of the manipulation conducted on the bytes are bit shifts, long division, and byte swaps, and conducted on register values and stack values.

V (<pre>pid byte_division(byte input_value,undefined4 param_2,byte modulus)</pre>
{	
	uint parl;
	uint one;
	uint zero;
	bool carry 1;
	bool carry 2;
	bool overflow;
	<pre>parl = (uint)input_value;</pre>
	zero = 0;
	one = 1;
	do {
	<pre>overflow = CARRY2(parl,parl);</pre>
	parl = parl * 2;
	<pre>zero = zero * 2 + (uint)overflow;</pre>
	overflow = modulus <= zero;
	if (overflow) {
	zero = zero - modulus;
	<pre>carry_l = CARRY2(one, (uint)overflow);</pre>
	<pre>carry_2 = CARRY2(one,one + overflow);</pre>
	one = one * 2 + (uint)overflow;
	<pre>} while (!carry_1 ss !carry_2);</pre>
	return;
}	

Fig. 27. Decompiled View of byte_division function

Fig. 28. Decompiled View of register manipulation function

Further investigation into this section of the code shows two significant security concerns. First, the debugging/errorcorrection loop is controlled by a "do/while(true)" loop that uses the system validation to escape the loop by booting into the main system loop. With physical access to the gateway device, an attacker could potentially leverage this structure by inserting or manipulating a single byte to cause the system to continually fail to validate, thus never booting into the main loop, effectively causing a denial of service for the system as a whole. If an attacker could change the hard-coded, unencrypted CRC value located at 0x0000ff7e (0x26e2), this would be enough to cause this failure.

	WORD_0000ff7 WORD_0000ff7	<pre>val_CRC:0000e8be val_CRC:0000e92c</pre>	
0000ff7e e2 26	dw	26E2h	Val_CRC:0000e928

Fig. 29. Hard-coded CRC value

The second potential issue is a little more complicated, however, potentially easier to exploit. Within this debugging loop, there is a function, "rec debug transmissions". This function receives debugging information from the FM chip, using the timer control peripheral to ensure that the system does not end up hung up waiting for the data. It then stores this byte in memory, which is then passed to another function, "handle debug rx", where it is run through a pseudo-switch structure, where there are four options based on what the received data is. It will either run through some flash-control adjustments, a series of calls to the "register_manipulation" function that adjusts the various registers in distinct ways, system re-validation that transmits "ER" if it fails or "OK" if it passes to the debug serial port, or uses the buffer section that is reset on boot to either transmit a message indicating SLC or FM failure to the debug serial port.



Fig. 30. Schema of how the system handles received debug data

This transmission could be intercepted by an attacker, and the attacker could instead send the byte that corresponds to what they would like the system to do, which could cause unintended functionality, including full system failure.

Further work is needed to verify if either, or even both, of these potential security concerns are indeed exploitable vulnerabilities within the system, and, if they are, how they could be exploited and how to patch them if they are.

XI. SLC INTERPROCESSOR MESSAGE

Identifying the interprocessor message (IPC) in the context of spoofing a device on the SLC (Signaling Line Circuit) network is crucial because these messages are the primary means by which devices communicate and exchange data on the network. Understanding the structure and content of these messages allows an attacker to replicate or manipulate the communication between devices, which is essential for successfully impersonating a legitimate device.

The IPC message is composed of several key components, including a header, a 190-byte buffer holding the data of the message, a footer, and a checksum. The message format is structured with specific bytes for various functions, such as byte 5, which represents the gateway's primary SLC address, and byte 3 of the header, which indicates the wireless mode (0 for off, 1 for on). Additionally, the data point DAT_00002c51, which corresponds to the 4th byte of the header, seems to correspond to a control signal for USCI A1, possibly used to trigger specific actions, such as turning on or off certain components. This signal appears to be influenced by the wireless mode setting, with DAT_00002c51 being set to 0 when the wireless mode is off, indicating a condition for further operations. The footer, consisting of bytes 195-199, plays a critical role in message validation, where byte 199 acts as a checksum or CRC, ensuring the integrity of the data during transmission. Our analysis suggests that byte 195 is initially null during initialization but is set to 1 in the reset_globals function during runtime, potentially indicating a state change or flag.

XII. CONCLUSION

A. Next Steps

Continuing the team's effort in deciphering what messages are encrypted and which are left unencrypted, creating an RF backdoor attack, and the building of a surrogate device are all important goals that will further the reverse engineering attempts. The next steps for the team entail continuing our investigation into the SLC firmware, as well as reverse engineering the RF Gateway. We also plan to continue the development of the surrogate device, to which the Surrogate Device Schematic 2.2 design has already been developed. Relating to the understanding of the RF protocols used, we plan to further support our understating of the OTA protocol as some fields are still yet to be determined. Similarly, we'd like to investigate the Serial Protocol used by the gateway as well as research and use ILSpy to determine fields of the Serial Protocol used by the SWIFT Tools application and the W-USB transceiver. Both of these goals support the notion of spoofing a packet by specifying known fields, potentially targeting a vulnerability in the system or triggering a certain state.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bussey, D. Chou, M. Fabregas, and S. Wright, "Swift wireless fire alarm system analysis," *Apr.*, 2023.
- [2] Leo Rover, "Raspberry pi or arduino when to choose which?" 2024, retrieved October 17, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.leorover. tech/post/raspberry-pi-or-arduino-when-to-choose-which
- [3] WebbyLab, "Arduino vs raspberry pi: Comparison," 2024, retrieved October 17, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://webbylab.com/blog/ arduino-vs-raspberry-pi-comparison/